

~~Fred Want~~ 0011

Mrs R S Lee osp

These marks, surely

Michigan State Canan

Levin ~~of~~ Morse

Slaght, ICA

Doherty O/R

Class p. Trees

Cy Zucker 1CA/more

Went. I'm here to learn what you should do
+ who we should send hereafter.

Tuckerman: papers and abstracts E and State
energy, ever since - recent St. disk to from
10000

Review State drafts distributed.
He consulted re. part of E area. Assumes
ODM screened + ODM ret'd the 1st 4
attached to develop concrete maps.

27 XII Waller Radus gave Gru
last document attached which he
got from OPM + interpreted as an
OPM directive. This will have to be

The assumptions Gao was given only that TN attack on US should be assumed; no enemy stated. Gao Volk REP made added assumption for the purpose that war on

Slaght: Raises question as to basic functions & which agency is responsible for what & as problem to working out details. Need to know 1st who has resp. for what as prelude to how to do.

Gus:

- (1) Agrees (asking Winant)
- (2) Are we preparing every action step for real use or for the June exercise?
- (3) Ple. give us an elementary introduction.

Winant I'm just out of hospital for 3 mos. I'm not ready to speak; John Berger has been working on this.

Considerable difficulties come from stages of last summer; no set up for 8 PM to work there; no foreigners available.

~~start alone~~
Honesty can't give you one today. I agreed with You only to come and listen + then decide who'd help you.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Wurmb

General Comments

We were all fooled up on who was doing what in export control last summer.

Gus

Who sets assumptions? The other agencies, or does DDM set them?

Wurmb

Not sure. You must have them but don't know what yet? Believe DDM would supply the frame of reference.

(ICASS was KA reiteration spot)

There is a new (6 mos old) set of NATO assumptions on nuclear forces + they have not yet digested the intangibles + difficulties. These have caused a revision of all planning, but planning hasn't caught up; under these low thought profiles removal 1st, # cont. goes 2nd, suffices 3rd.

Gus

Can we divide up the work in 1/1 + have drafts plus droppers assumptions

Stagg

I don't think we can do anything without assumptions. The residual

it goes; in time of TN attack, US agencies
 could coordinate by communications +
 must be a single physical spot for
 performing these related functions.
 This seemed to be sensible under
 problems of mass destruction.

Winant Yes, we realized at High Point
 we were sweeping Jones + new
 materials + this not really a
 #1 priority under these conditions.

Also agree the parts of F.W.
 are related: last WTB3 study
 showed this but in peace no one
 wanted to consider a new agency.

Yes I think you must do
 realistic planning; up to someone else
 to say "No".

Gu The wish of the group is to
 postpone work until we can
 get further briefing from ODM.
Next meeting Wed PM 2:30 Res 2:30

2:30 SA-7

NF Only TN war assumed? Or a non TN war?
 Winant At present, only the former is
 being considered.

Re E. Pen paper

slight

Believe this paper. Believe this, throw up hands; we have the knowledge and believe it should be used publicly. Should move in other direction

Zurin

Agree \nearrow

Gus

You reported last week that ^{initial} in State meeting guy ~~as~~ Bureau said there was an intra-State vacuum. You also advised a memo something like this is in works from Prochnow to Hooton calling for intra-State inter-agency mechanism.

Doherty

My point is that your recommend that EPAC consider is appropriate only if this is to be within EPAC somewhere. I think it is outside EPAC. I think we ~~will~~ not "compete" ~~in~~ in that EPAC T/R are too limited.

NF

When asked, I stated would request paper to be held over a meeting to have our EPAC inter consider.

See aments.

Doherty: I think FOIA community is competent.

Doherty: I'd regret to have this considered as merely FC War. We should consider the overall requirement of diplomacy in a case.

I think this paper says EPAC can contribute but that putting together all parts has to be done outside FOIA.

Levin: This depends on how you define Ex. Secy.

Doherty: EPAC only exists due to MOWAC Act, US Exec Act.

BFC + Tr. think EPAC has a part but not whole of prob.

Conclusion: make suggestions for changes; put on agenda for next meeting.